

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/029,305 | 12/20/2001 | Tyrone L. Hardy | 70012-36-CIP | 4390 |
| 5179 7 | 590 05/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| PEACOCK MYERS AND ADAMS P C | | | MANTIS MERCADER, ELENI M | |
| P O BOX 26927 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 871256927 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 3737 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/029,305 | HARDY ET AL. | | | |
| Auvisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Eleni Mantis Mercader | 3737 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection. | , , | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | r reconsideration has been cons | sidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 25-49. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app | roved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | <u>·</u> . | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Elevishantis Mercader | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3737 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations stating "repetitive trigonometric waveform" and "offset" require further consideration and search. Also, the Examiner does not agree with at least some remarks such as that the letter "W" does not meet the "repetitive trigonometric waveform" in that "W" is equivalent to a repetition of "V" which is a trigonometric waveform. Regardless, the combination of the "repetitive trigonometric waveform" and the "offset" require further search and consideration. Also, possible 101 issues are raised with the current amendment with the limitation"a body immobilizing device maintaining the patient's body" inferentially claiming the human body. The claim would have to be further amended to overcome such a rejection by using language such as —a body immobilizing device adapted to maintain the patient's body—. For at least these reasons an advisory action is issued.